



Office of Children and Family Services

KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE
Commissioner

May 24, 2022

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting Monroe County's Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Program Year (PY) 2021-2022. Your entire STSJP plan, including any amounts listed for PY 2021-2022 STSJP-RTA, has been **approved.**

The County is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement, up to the capped allocation, if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. A municipality may make a detention allocation shift at the time of the STSJP plan submission or up until June 30, 2022, unless otherwise approved by the Office of Children and Family Services.

If the County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Lynn Tubbs and email it to stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov outlining

1. the amount that will be shifted and
2. the type of programming or services the re-purposed detention funds will be used for under STSJP

Once the shift is approved, an amended STSJP plan will need to be submitted.

As a reminder, to access RTA reimbursement that a municipality may be eligible for, the expenditures must be specifically included in a locality's comprehensive RTA plan.

All STSJP claims, inclusive of STSJP-RTA, must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period of October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022. Questions on all aspects of the claiming process should be directed to the STSJP mailbox at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov with the subject line: "STSJP Claiming Questions".

If you have any STSJP plan questions, please also email us at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line or reach out to Geneva Hilliard at (518) 486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs at (518) 473-9116.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their families through innovative programming to reduce out of home placement and detention of youth.

Sincerely,



Nina Aledort, Ph.D., LMSW
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Youth Development and Partnerships for Success

cc: Derek Holtzclaw, OCFS Deputy Commissioner for Administration
Lynn Tubbs, Director of Cross-System Supports, YDAPS
OCFS Child Welfare and Community Services Regional Office Directors
Municipality STSJP Lead

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES
FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP) - WITH ROLLOVER
ANNUAL PLAN FOR PROGRAM YEAR OCTOBER 1, 2021 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

SUBMITTING MUNICIPALITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Name of applicant county, counties or jurisdiction: Monroe		
Lead agency for STSJP submission: Department of Human Services		
Contact person's name: Amy Natale-McConnell	Title: Director, Family Services	
Phone: (585) 753-6431	Ext:	Email: Amy.Natale-McConnell@dfa.state.ny.us

PLAN SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

STSJP plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 09/08/2021

- Once you have opened this form on your computer, please use the "Save As" function to save a copy with the following file name: "STSJP 2021-2022 Annual Plan – [ex. Municipality Name]."
- Work from the copy saved in Step 1 as you record your municipality information. Save your work as you complete each section.
- Email the completed application to OCFS at stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov. Use the subject line "STSJP 2021-2022 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]" to facilitate timely review of your plan.

**Please direct any STSJP plan questions to the STSJP mailbox at: STSJP@ocfs.ny.gov,
or you may contact Geneva Hilliard 518-486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs 518-473-9116.**

NOTE: Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate and maintain STSJP programs, and may enter into agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide additional information under **Part I - Municipality Level Details, Section C. Cooperative Application.**

PART I – MUNICIPALITY LEVEL DETAILS

A. MUNICIPALITY LEVEL ANALYSIS

- (a) Identify the communities or neighborhoods (ZIP codes) from which the highest number of Youthful Offenders (YO), Adolescent Offenders (AO), Juvenile Offenders (JO), Juvenile Delinquents (JD), and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS), enter the youth justice system, are remanded to detention, and/or are residentially placed; and
(b) Discuss what factors may be contributing to these high numbers:

The overall poverty rate in Monroe County stands at 14.4%, (slightly less than state and national levels), but the poverty rate within the city of Rochester is 31.3%, third among the nation's 75 largest metropolitan areas. The poverty rate for children within the City is almost 48%. Poverty is concentrated within certain pockets; as of 2016, thirty-seven (37) census tracts had poverty rates above 40%. Poverty rates are significantly higher for people of color, particularly children of color. The poverty rate for African American children is 50% in the County and 56% in the City. The poverty rate for Hispanic/Latino children is 42% and 55% respectively. By comparison, the poverty rate for white children is 12% in the County and 43% in the City. Race matters, as does where you live and where you live is frequently based on race. There is a large divide between the City of Rochester, especially The Crescent, and the rest of Monroe County in terms of access to safe and affordable housing, income and accumulation of intergenerational wealth, law enforcement agencies and practices, community safety, school performance and educational achievement, and service availability and accessibility.

It is no surprise then that youth involved with Juvenile Justice come from the most impoverished areas in the City and are predominantly youth of color. While approximately 30% of Monroe County's youth reside within the City of Rochester, they account for close to 85% of youth involved with Juvenile Justice. Over 50% of justice-involved youth come from just five (5) zipcodes (14621, 14611, 14609, 14608 and 14606), all of which have some of the highest poverty zipcodes in Rochester and center around an area known as "The Crescent." These neighborhoods demonstrate not only high poverty rates, but high rates of unemployment, single parent families, school drop-outs,

teen pregnancy and infant mortality, as well as poor graduation rates, poor housing and limited access to health care. The residents of those neighborhoods are predominantly Black and Hispanic. Youth in these high poverty areas tend to have experienced more trauma, family fragmentation and toxic stress than their peers because of where they live. This exposure is compounded by the lack of access to services, supports and pro-social opportunities in their neighborhoods.

2. Resources available at the following link can help you answer these questions:

<https://www.ocfs.ny.gov/programs/youth/stsjp/planning.php>

(a) In the charts below, please provide the municipality's distributions by race, ethnicity, and sex assigned at birth for the general population of youth younger than 18, and for the detained and placed population of youth younger than 18. (**Note:** Every July, the National Center for Health Statistics releases population data for the previous year. The population data you are being asked to report on was the latest available at the time OCFS updated the contents of this plan.)

Race/Ethnicity	2019 General Population <18 years		2020 Detention Admissions <18 years		2020 Placement Admissions <18 years	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Black/African American	32,302	21%	99	72%	20	57%
White	91,147	60%	13	9%	7	20%
Native American/Alaskan	400	0	0	0%		
Asian/Pacific Islander	6,643	4%	1	1%		
Hispanic	22,443	15%	24	18%	7	20%

Sex Assigned at Birth	2019 General Population <18 years		2020 Detention Admissions <18 years		2020 Placement Admissions <18 years	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Male	78,004	51%	121	88%	32	91%
Female	74,931	49%	16	12%	3	9%

b) If you identified any disparities when comparing youths' representation in the general population and their representation in detention and placement, explain how this plan will address those disparities. If you did not identify any disparities, please note such.

Please note: Data includes two 18 year olds.

Despite significant decreases in the numbers of youth detained and placed outside the home over the last 5+ years, the significant over-representation of black youth admitted to detention has been remarkably persistent over time, generally accounting for approximately 75% of admissions, while representing 21% of the youth population, both prior to and since Raise the Age. Prior to 2020, specific data as to race and ethnicity for youth placed outside the home was not readily available. However, in 2020, it is interesting to note that while black youth account for 72% of detention admissions, they account for 57% of all placement admissions, 50% of OCFS placement and 67% of LDSS admissions. It is also interesting to note that for every 5 admissions of Black youth, 1 is placed. For every 3.5 admissions of Hispanic youth, 1 is placed and for every 2 admissions of white youth, 1 is placed. It is unclear if this differential reflects multiple detention admissions for youth of color, if more youth of color are arrested and detained, but not necessarily placed by the court, if probation supervision is successful in meeting the needs of youth of color, or if there are other contributing factors. As we delve further into the

differences between who is detained and how often versus who is placed outside the home, we hope to develop additional strategies around addressing these disparities.

Certainly, Monroe County's overall emphasis on Family Support Services and expansion of front end services delivered through this plan are designed to address and mitigate differential access to and provision of services to underserved populations noted in Question 1 above, thereby preventing entrance into the juvenile justice system. The Family Access and Connection Team (FACT) Family Support Program is physically located in the 14611 zipcode, one of those high poverty areas from which juvenile justice involved youth come. As a result of our prevention and early intervention services, Monroe had no pre-or post-dispositional PINS placements in 2020, perhaps indicating that many PINS issues were tied to poverty. Over the past 2.5 years, Monroe has made a commitment to more clearly and intentionally address these disparities through the formation of an Equity work group which has focused on the JD diversion process and policies. Sub-groups have worked on education and awareness for families and youth, policy and procedure around diversion, mapping the diversion system with an eye towards potential points of implicit bias, and gaining youth input about system inequities from surveys and in group forums. Monroe County is fortunate to be participating in the NYS Equity Policy Academy which will assist us in continuing and enhancing current, as well as developing new, strategies to address disparities within our systems.

B. LOCAL COLLABORATION

1. Legislation requires local collaboration. Please describe your municipality's activities in developing this year's STSJP plan in collaboration with local agencies responsible for probation, law enforcement, detention, diversion, social services, courts, service providers, schools, and youth development programs:

Monroe County has a long history of active collaboration around Juvenile Justice, identifying and addressing challenges and generating solutions. All STSJP program data is reviewed by JART (Juvenile Alternatives & Reform Team) and Juvenile Justice Council (JJC) on a quarterly basis to assess system gaps, explore alternatives for programming and recommend new directions and services for the STSJP Plan. JART subgroups addressing Detention Reform and Equity also review data relevant to their mission on a regular basis. All groups are comprised of various system stakeholders. The JJC, as the overall oversight and planning body, is comprised of representatives from LDSS, Probation, Office of Mental Health, Family Court, Detention, Juvenile Prosecutor's Office, Attorney for the Child Program, Judges, OCFS, Youth Bureau, Rochester City School District, several community based agencies and community members.

The 2021-2022 application was developed based on input and feedback received over the course of the year and was written through active collaboration with staff from Probation, Office of Mental Health and DHS (LDSS).

2. How is your municipality gathering participant youth and family feedback as part of your STSJP plan development? While we have been unsuccessful, especially during COVID, in having ongoing youth and family voice at JJC, we continue to consult with Family Voice (our family support, resource sharing, advocacy group) and SWAT (our cross-system youth advocacy group) as a means by which to gain consumer input. This past year, our Equity group planned and delivered youth surveys and conducted youth forums to secure feedback about the juvenile justice system which is incorporated into future planning. Two family members have joined issue specific (Equity and Cross-Systems) work groups.

Many of the youth participating in the Equity survey, particularly those delivered at detention, are from those identified zipcode areas. Much of their frustration focused on the court process, access to their attorneys and the conditions at detention. That work is being addressed through the JJC, but has not directly been made a part of this plan. Additional feedback is in the process of analyses and should be available for review in the coming months.

Describe how the plan incorporates that feedback; if you have not yet received youth and family feedback, please describe the municipality implementation plan to develop such feedback mechanisms during PY 2021-2022. All feedback obtained through the course of surveys, forums and issue specific work groups is reported back to the Juvenile Justice Council and is thus incorporated into this plan. The FACT Family Support Program will be

conducting surveys with families served in the 3rd quarter of the coming year to obtain feedback and ideas about the Family Support Programs as well as to identify additional consumer needs.

3. Was community feedback in high-need ZIP codes (A.1.) sought as part of the STSJP plan development?

Yes No

If yes, please describe how the plan incorporates that feedback; if no, please describe the municipality implementation plan to develop such feedback mechanism during PY 2021-2022.

In addition to the feedback and input cited above, Monroe County has embarked on several new partnerships with grass-roots organizations within and around the Crescent this past year and has utilized those conversations not only to inform this plan, but also to develop and create new programming across age groups and outside of juvenile justice. New and/or emerging partnerships include Rise Up Rochester, Legacy Makers, Core Center and Hope Center, with an emphasis on improved service availability and access and prevention programs in those high need areas.

C. COOPERATIVE APPLICATION (COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF THIS IS A JOINT APPLICATION.)

1. Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county.

2. Describe how personnel will be compensated across and between counties in the cooperative:

3. Will a single fiscal officer be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP?

Yes (If Yes, please provide their contact details below.)

No (If No, skip to Q4.)

Officer's Name:

Title:

Phone: ()

Ext:

Email:

4. Provide the name and email address of the person who will be responsible for collecting and submitting STSJP data for joint-funded programs, as well as the names and email addresses of the fiscal officers responsible for joint-funded programs:

PART II – PROGRAM LEVEL DETAILS

PROGRAM 1

A. PROGRAM 1 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 1 Name: ATD Team

Operating Agency: Monroe County Office of Probation-Community Corrections

Program Mailing Address: 33 N. Fitzhugh Street

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14614

Program Contact's Name: Kristine Durante

Title: Assistant Chief Probation Officer

Phone: (585) 753-3382

Ext:

Email: kdurante@monroecounty.gov

B. PROGRAM 1 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County Zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

The primary goals of the ATD/ATPDP component of the program are to reduce the use of detention (both admissions and length of stay) for JD, JO, AO and YO youth, and pre-dispositional placement for PINS youth, as well as increase the appearance rate and decrease the number of warrants issued. The ATD team provides the following services: (1) monitor youth 7 days and several evenings a week via field visits, curfew and school checks, (2) identify and address barriers to court appearances and refer to services as appropriate; (3) provide assessment/recommendations regarding the use of Electronic Monitoring & provide service when so ordered and; (4) Provide IPR (Immediate Probation Response) at the court's request as an alternative to the court issuing warrants. ATD also provides After-Hours services around youth for whom law enforcement is considering admission to detention, including helping arresting officers locate potential relatives, administering the DRAI and following up with parents and legal representatives the next day as to court appearances and next steps. All PINS petitions are reviewed prior to 1st appearance so that ATD can provide recommendations to court around alternatives to PDP. The team also provides Girl's Circle, an Evidenced-Based intervention for youth, as well as other group opportunities, and also has access to wrap funds for basic needs, transportation and special recognition. The ATD Team is active in the field with families as well as the youth, making service and resource referrals not only for the youth, but for families as needed. Reminders of court appearances are provided to both families and youth.

The primary goal of the ATD Team Early Intervention service is to increase adjustment rates for youth charged as AOs who are subsequently removed to Family Court. This is a specialized diversion track for youth charged with more serious crimes, who tend to be more closely monitored by the court, and who require increased monitoring and more intensive and frequent contact in the community than the general diversion population. As most of these youth participated in the Voluntary Assessment and Case Planning process with the ATD Team while in Youth Part, they are familiar with the team and planning will not need to start over with a new officer/new team, saving time, improving engagement and increasing program effectiveness.

It should be noted that this program is a combination of last year's ATD program and the Early Intervention/EM program, which had been recorded separately for financial clarity on the plan. However, as that latter program was functionally an expansion of the team's general responsibilities and programming, they are being combined for this year's plan.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 1 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	10	7	0	0	0	0	17
STSJP-RTA	0	2			4	28	0	0	34
Total	0	2	10	17	4	28	0	0	51

3. Based on the program's record of youth served, do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:
 ATD/EI services were significantly under utilized in the first 6 months of the year, reflecting COVID's community spread and the subsequent reduction in court hearings, as courts continued to hear only essential cases and periods of adjournments were lengthened. STSJP-RTA EI referrals were also markedly under projections, due to it being a new service and the general slow down in court processing. In contrast, the number of youth served by ATD/EM in the 1st half of the year exceeded last year's total projections, perhaps indicating the courts increased use of EM during the pandemic as an alternative to detention. As such, additional monies to accommodate the increased volume will be added to both this and the RTA plan.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	140.67	366	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			36.5	46.25	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

As expected, lengths of stay for JD/JO and PINS youth increased in the first half of the program year, due to the increase in the number and length of adjournments, leading to an even longer length of stays than what would be expected for detention stays (although detention length of stay increased as well). There was only one JO/JD youth discharged from ATD in the first 6 months of the year, so the 366, is not an average as much as it is one youth's experience. PINS length of stay continued to grow as PINS Warrants signed during COVID-19 were vacated and the reissued Notice to Appear was generally further out than usual.

The average length of service for EM for RTA youth reported in the first half of the year is generally longer than what would be expected for usual detention stays, but we have noted that during the pandemic and because of the seriousness of AO offenses, length of detention stays for both JD-RTA and AO youth have also increased markedly, so that the average length of stay on an ATD noted above, although high, is still not as high as the average length of stay in detention.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?
 All PINS youth served avoided Pre-Dispositional Placement and 75% of AO youth avoided detention admissions. Overall, 86% of RTA youth avoided a new arrest & 86% avoided a warrant.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 Numbers served are much less than anticipated. Discharged numbers are very small (e.g., 1 JD youth discharged) due to court delays and corresponding increased lengths of stay, so in some cases, it is difficult to validly assess progress towards outcomes.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?
 ATD continues to be present in court and continues to work with the court to assess and recommend referral for appropriate youth, especially as more cases begin to be heard again. Due to decreased volume, an ATD officer position has been eliminated and projections served for this plan year reduced.

D. PROGRAM 1 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	35	50	0	0	0	0	85
STSJP-RTA	0	60			10	35	0	0	105
Total	0	60	35	50	10	35	0	0	190

PROGRAM 2

A. PROGRAM 2 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 2 Name: Juvenile Justice Mental Health Clinical Support Team

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay St

Address Line 2: Bldg J

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward

Title: Senior Manager, Children's Behavioral Health

Phone: (585) 753-2909

Ext:

Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

B. PROGRAM 2 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County ZipCodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

Mental Health assessment, evaluation, consultation and referral and engagement services will be provided for pre- and post adjudicated PINS, JD and JO youth in MCFC and/or Youth Part, and/or active with Probation and/or the Family Support Center to 1) assist in maintaining youth pending the outcome of their case, 2) provide timely and accurate clinical information to assist in decision making & care planning, 3) recommend appropriate modality/intensity of Behavioral Health Services and/or 4) assist in referral and engagement for ongoing treatment services. These providers also work collaboratively with special projects to improve coordination of services with mental health providers and youth with Developmental and Intellectual Disabilities. New this year is the availability for specialized sexual offender evaluations. As availability allows, the team also serves RTA youth. As this extension of service has not been approved in the RTA plan, it is not billed as STSJP-RTA. Monroe County believes this is an important adjunct service and will continue to provide it to our older youth, regardless of payment mechanism.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 2 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:
 Service is Indirect, so no numbers are reported above or below. The internal target for youth served by the JJMHC Team is 150. Once again, the Team is on track to exceed that number, as 140 youth have been served with 6 weeks left in the program year.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of involvement on a youth's case increased during the 20-21 PY from about 2 weeks, to just under 3 weeks, while the median is 6 days. Many youth are active for only a few days. Some youth are active for

much longer periods of time, particularly as the unmet MH needs of the population grows. Youth for whom behavioral health concerns are paramount are exceedingly complex and require additional planning and coordination. The increased average length of involvement is most likely a result of the creation of a specialized mental health caseload in probation, which may require longer JJMHC involvement due to case complexity and need.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

Targets include timely delivery of material to court. The program exceeded it's target, with 100% of requested assessments delivered to court within specified time frames.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

None

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

None

D. PROGRAM 2 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM 3

A. PROGRAM 3 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 3 Name: Coordinator of Cross-System Partnerships

Operating Agency: Monroe County Department of Human Services

Program Mailing Address: 111 Westfall Road

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14620

Program Contact's Name: Amy Natale-McConnell

Title: Director, Family Services

Phone: (585) 753-6341

Ext:

Email: Amy.Natale-McConnell@dfa.state.ny.us

B. PROGRAM 3 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County Zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “Yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

The Coordinator of Cross-System Partnerships (formerly known as the Juvenile Justice Services Planner) drives and supports reform across the JJ system, collecting, cleaning and analyzing data to track youth from local systems, as well as JJIS, JDAS & DRAI, to measure outcomes, inform decision making and ensure cross-system collaboration and planning, with particular emphasis on reducing detention and placement across all petition types, while promoting systems transformation that ensures that justice involved youth have access to and receive services from the most appropriate and coordinated systems to meet their needs. The CCSP also assists in the development of new programs and services, increasing youth and family voice, expanding the ATD array and reducing racial, ethnic and gender disparities at all youth justice contact points. The CCSP also convenes and coordinates cross system collaboratives that include OPWDD, ACCES-VR, Education, DHS, OMH and community agencies, as well as youth and families. The CCSP serves as the Coordinator of JART (Juvenile Alternatives & Reform Team) and also works with the Finger Lakes RYJT and the Statewide PYJ around various regional and state-wide planning efforts and initiatives.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 3 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY’S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021 but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type						ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

This is an indirect service, so not data is provided.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

Indirect service

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

The position has been expanded to include more of a cross systems focus to advocate for youth and promote better outcomes through improved cross system collaboration and coordination.

D. PROGRAM 3 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

PROGRAM 4

A. PROGRAM 4 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 4 Name: Mediation

Operating Agency: Center for Dispute Settlement

Program Mailing Address: 16 East Main Street

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14614

Program Contact's Name: Shira May

Title: President/Chief Executive Officer

Phone: (585) 546-5110 Ext:

Email: smay@cadsadr.org

B. PROGRAM 4 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:
All Monroe County Zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)
Family conflict can prohibit youth from being successful in their homes and in their communities and may lead to runaway and other dangerous behaviors that may require court intervention. Similarly, youth who have been placed outside of their homes may need extra support in addressing familial conflict as the youth returns home. The Mediation program provides mediation/arbitration and conflict coaching to address family conflict through the development of conflict resolution and problem solving skills to youth and their families prior to petition and/or at the diversion level to reduce the need for a petition. Services are provided to youth at risk PINS, as well as those receiving PINS Diversion Services, JD Diversion and for PINS and JD youth returning from out of home placement.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 4 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

As of 3/31, the program was under expected referrals. With COVID restrictions lifted and active outreach to potential referral sources, utilization has increased. With 6 weeks left in the program year, CDS has provided services to 31 youth and families, so will likely be close to, if not exceed service capacity projections.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	94.50	78.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

Overall, the program had just three discharges (2 Prevention and 1 Early Intervention) in the first half of the program year, making it challenging to discern much of anything from data regarding averages. Reported averages fall within expected thresholds for this type of service.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?
 Although the numbers are small, all discharged youth demonstrated successful outcomes.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 Program utilization was less than expected during the first half of the year.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?
 COVID-19 certainly had an impact on referrals and numbers served from September 2020 through March of 2021, when the pandemic was in the midst of its second wave, prior to the advent of vaccinations. Staff from Center for Dispute Settlement continued to provide outreach and education to potential referral sources and the number of youth served has increased.

D. PROGRAM 4 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP	15	20	0	0	0	0	0	5	40	
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	
Total	15	20	0	0	0	0	0	5	40	

PROGRAM 5

A. PROGRAM 5 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 5 Name: FACT Family Support Program

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay Street

Address Line 2: Bldg J

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Tammy Butler

Title: Program Director

Phone: (585) 753-2631	Ext:	Email: tammybutler@monroecounty.gov
-----------------------	------	-------------------------------------

B. PROGRAM 5 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:
All Monroe County Zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

The FACT Family Support Program provides community-based supportive services to children and families to prevent petition, adjudication and placement of youth at-risk of becoming, or alleged to be a PINS. The program is physically located in the the 14611 zipcode, which is one of the more impoverished neighborhoods from which many youth involved with juvenile justice come. FACT Family Support Services are trauma informed, gender responsive, family oriented and strength based and include crisis intervention, rapid triage and assessment, behavioral health screening, case management, family intervention and support, skill building, active partnering with schools and educational advocacy and referral to other community programming, including respite where appropriate. FACT provides regular evening office hours and has the capacity to provide services on weekends when needed. The program serves as the entrance point to and programmatic hub for the community wide multi-disciplinary team, which continues to grow as partners are added. This past year, FACT expanded to include youth re-entering the community from out of home placement to ensure successful reintegration and avoid the need for additional court involvement or out of home placement. Youth and Family input is important throughout service delivery and is elicited and considered in the assessment and planning phase, as well as service delivery, referrals and decisions around case closing. To better assist youth and families in finding and utilizing their voice, FACT programming includes several Parent Partners who serve as navigators and advocates.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 5 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	341	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	375
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	341	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	375

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

As projected capacity for FACT is 750 youth served, the program is on track to meet, if not exceed projected capacity. This is remarkable given that the time period for data collection was at the height of the pandemic locally. It also speaks to the overwhelming needs of the community. We had projected a small number of youth served on re-entry, but as it was a new feature and took some time to develop referral processes and agency relationships, no re-entry services were provided in the first half of the year. The program has had Re-entry referrals in the second half of the year, so expect to meet that specific target by year's end.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	96.10	110.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

EI would be expected to have a higher length of service than Prevention, as needs are generally more intense and/or complex. This is in fact the case, but only by about 2 weeks. With the pandemic, we have seen increased need and complexity within our preventive services population as well.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?
 Outcomes vary by service type, but overall, goals around positive adult connections, school suspension, PINS referrals and arrests are on track to meet projections.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 The ability to engage youth in prosocial activities has been significantly limited, especially during the 1st half of the program year, due to shutdowns caused by the pandemic. We hope that with community activities opening up over the summer, more progress will realized. Truancy continues to present a challenge for the program as it does for the Rochester City School District. Pre-pandemic data (2018) indicated that almost 50% of RCSD High School students were described as "chronically absent" and daily absentee rates varied by school, but many were in the 30-40% range. Although FACT has made progress in addressing truancy to the point that it does not meet the criteria for petition, overall truancy targets are not being met, at least at PY midpoint.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

Facilitators continue to provide active outreach to youth and connection with school personnel, as well as look beyond the truant behavior to better understand the root causes and more effectively address underlying issues. Towards that end, an additional Behavioral Health Specialist is included in this program year's budget to assist in identifying and addressing these issues.

D. PROGRAM 5 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	660	80	0	0	0	0	0	10	750
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	660	80	0	0	0	0	0	10	750

PROGRAM 6

A. PROGRAM 6 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 6 Name: PINS Probation Services

Operating Agency: Monroe County Office of Probation - Community Corrections

Program Mailing Address: 33 N. Fitzhugh St

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester State: **NY** ZIP Code: 14614

Program Contact's Name: Kristine Durante Title: Assistant Chief Probation Officer

Phone: (585) 753-3382 Ext: Email: kdurante@monroecounty.gov

B. PROGRAM 6 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:
All Monroe County ZipCodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

As part of the Family Support Multidisciplinary team, the Probation Team focuses on providing pre-diversion, diversion and supervision services to youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as a PINS to prevent further penetration into the juvenile justice system for youth at risk of court involvement and out of home placement. The Team serves youth who require additional support within the Support Center, as well as youth on diversion. Services include individual and family support and intervention, as well as evidenced based groups. The Team also provides comprehensive Runaway Response services to quickly identify and locate missing teens. The Team provides community based supervision and services for PINS youth on probation and at risk of out of home placement. Youth and Family input is important throughout service delivery and is elicited and considered at all phases of intervention. The Team is co-located with the Family Support Center in a high needs zipcode to better serve youth in their community, improve accessibility to other community programs and services housed within and attached to the Center, and to limit exposure to juvenile delinquents and adult offenders at probation's primary location downtown. Goals of the program include reducing the number of PINS Petitions filed, the number of Violations of Probation filed, and the number of PINS youth placed out of the home.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 6 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJPD DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJPD STSJPD-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJPD	0	85	0	0	0	0	5	0	90
STSJPD-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	85	0	0	0	0	5	0	90

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:
 In the first 6 months, the Early Intervention program provided services to more than half the annual target and is on track to meet or exceed service projections. Fewer youth are being petitioned, so fewer youth are being placed on probation supervision, leading to a lower than expected number of youth served in the ATP portion of the program.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJPD	0.00	93.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	197.50	0.00
STSJPD-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

Early intervention length of service is as expected with diversion; approximately 3 months. Only 2 youth were discharged from ATP, so an average might not be an accurate representation. Still, 6-9 months is actually less than would be expected, as PINS probation orders are generally for 12 months.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No
7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?
 ATP closed just 2 youth and they both achieved all goals. Early intervention is on track to meet most goals, with the exception of perhaps truancy and pro-social activities.
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 The ability to engage youth in prosocial activities has been limited, especially during the 1st half of the program year, due to shutdowns caused by the pandemic. We hope that with things opening up over the summer, more progress will be realized. Rochester City School District has a significant problem with truancy and these are the youth working with probation. As such, truancy continues to present a challenge for the program, although the pandemic seems to have made school participation somewhat easier for some youth due to the option of remote learning.
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?
 Probation Officers continue to provide active outreach to youth and connect with school personnel, to assess and resolve issues related to truancy.

D. PROGRAM 6 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type						ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	150	0	0	0	0	10	0	160
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	150	0	0	0	0	10	0	160

PROGRAM 7

A. PROGRAM 7 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 7 Name: Youth and Family Partnership

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay St

Address Line 2: Bldg J

City: Rochester State: NY ZIP Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward Title: Senior Manager Children's Behavioral Health

Phone: (585) 753-2909 Ext: Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

B. PROGRAM 7 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “Yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

Youth and Family Partnership provides Wrap-Around services to youth and their families where the youth is at risk of, or actively demonstrating, or adjudicated as having PINS behaviors, to prevent the need for court involvement, including petition, adjudication or out of home placement. YFP provides trauma-responsive, family focused and gender-responsive comprehensive services through construction of a Child and Family Team (CFT) that includes formal (paid providers and systems) and informal (family, friends and community) supports. Services, including CFT meetings, are available in the evenings and on weekends as needed. Based upon the strengths, needs and cultural discovery assessment tool, and under the guidance of the YFP Care Manager, the CFT develops a comprehensive, unique plan for each youth and family that builds on strengths and addresses unmet needs and lagging skills that result in poor choices and risky and/or dangerous behaviors and otherwise supports the family. Plans include, but are not limited to: crisis intervention where necessary; communication, relationship building, problem solving and advocacy skills development; referrals for mental health, substance abuse services, respite, mediation and other services where appropriate; educational advocacy; and ongoing care coordination and management. The CFT process ensures that youth and family have a voice and choice in the planning and that progress can be sustained after the program ends. Youth requiring this more intensive, longer-term service will be identified and referred by FACT and/or probation, as well as youth exhibiting PINS behaviors who are cross-system involved with Child Protective Services.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 7 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY’S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type						ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	37	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	37	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	77

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:
 YFP exceeded it's target capacity in the first 6 months of the program year. No ATP youth were served as initially planned, although ATP was just added in the 20-21 Program Year and the number of PINS youth placed on probation was lower than expected, limiting potential program participants.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	246.67	314.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.
 While the average length of service for both seems lengthy, it is important to note that these are some of the highest need, most complex youth in the county. Youth served by YFP have significant mental health issues, are significantly affected by trauma and are involved with multiple systems. The CFT process takes time not usually afforded in other programs to thoroughly assess and build a system of supports that can assist the family in making and ultimately sustaining progress.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?
 Both Prevention and Early Intervention services are on track to meet most goals, with the exception of perhaps truancy and pro-social activities.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
 Many of the youth served by YFP experience significant anxiety and depression and are prone to social isolation, making school attendance and engagement in prosocial activities particularly challenging. Some progress has been noted around school attendance as remote learning has decreased anxiety for some youth. The ability to engage these youth in prosocial activities is challenging in general, even more so during the pandemic. Many social opportunities were closed or otherwise not available, especially in the 1st half of the program year.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?
 YFP Care Managers and the CFT continue to address and support youth in being successful in these areas with creative solutions. Thresholds for this particular population will be lower than for other populations due to the high prevalence of mental health concerns in the YFP population.

D. PROGRAM 7 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP	25	35	0	0	0	0	5	0	65	
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	
Total	25	35	0	0	0	0	5	0	65	

PROGRAM 8

A. PROGRAM 8 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 8 Name: System of Care Resource Team

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay Street

Address Line 2: Bldg. J

City: Rochester State: NY ZIP Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward Title: Senior Manager Children's Behavioral Health

Phone: (585) 753-2909 Ext: Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

B. PROGRAM 8 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:
All Monroe County zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

As a program within the Family Support Multidisciplinary team, the System of Care team focuses on outreach, education, training, advocacy and support to families, community members and agencies to increase awareness of resources, provide training around System of Care values and principles, promote the inclusion of fathers in the lives of youth, promote & ensure community connectedness and improve youth and family support networks. One component of the System of Care Team is the Community Coach and Trainer, who provides training to close to 2000 individuals (including families) and 100 agencies per year on such topics as trauma; compassion fatigue; self-awareness; diversity, values and decision making; principles of youth and family engagement; and boundaries and limit setting with youth. Other components of the team include The Fatherhood Connection, which provides

education, support and advocacy specific to fathers, including our young fathers in juvenile justice and at detention; Family Voice, an information, sharing, support and advocacy group for system involved family members; and SWAT (Spreading Wellness Around Town), our youth advocacy and support network for system involved youth. Both Family Voice and SWAT are key participants in ensuring youth and family voice across not only STSJF funded programming, but also our child serving systems as a whole.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 8 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJF DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose "None".

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJF STSJF-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STSJF-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

System of Care Resource Team is an indirect service.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJF-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

System of Care Resource Team is an indirect service.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

System of Care Resource Team is an indirect service.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

N/A

D. PROGRAM 8 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROGRAM 9

A. PROGRAM 9 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 9 Name: Respite

Operating Agency: Center For Youth

Program Mailing Address: 905 Monroe Avenue

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester State: NY ZIP Code: 14620

Program Contact's Name: Valerie Douglas Title: Director of Counseling & RHY Services

Phone: (585) 473-2464 Ext: Email: vdouglas@centerforyouth.net

B. PROGRAM 9 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County Zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

Monroe County re-issued the RFP for Respite this program year and successfully contracted with Center for Youth Services for a 2 bed Respite in August 2021. As such, no youth have yet been served this program year, but are expected to be served before the close of the program year. Although Monroe has seen no pre-dispositional placements this year and PINS petitions have decreased markedly, there is still need for designated respite for Juvenile Justice youth within the community. PINS youth who are likely to be petitioned to court, pre-dispositionally or long term placed, are primarily runaways, notably young women who are trafficked and/or youth with a high degree of family conflict. Youth pending court and/or youth on probation (both PINS and JDs) may also

voluntarily utilize respite during times of high stress and conflict. These youth could benefit from short term respite to provide a "cooling off" period to mobilize services, seek stability, engage family members and ultimately prevent the need for a more formal out of home placement or further penetration into the system. Youth will be referred through the Family Support Center or by Probation, either by the ATD Team as a voluntary alternative to Pre-dispositional placement or detention or at the diversion or supervision level for both PINS and JDs. Goals include exiting to safe and stable housing, connection to school and/or vocational programs/employment, establishment of significant adult connections and active participation in planning and follow up. As youth involved in JJ may benefit from Respite at any point through their journey, we intend to provide respite at all service points within the continuum, with a somewhat heavier emphasis on front end of the system, and will continue to monitor utilization to adjust capacity for different service types as needed.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 9 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJPD DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJPD STSJPD-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJPD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJPD-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

As the contract was not executed until mid-August, projected service capacity will not be achieved for the program year. The program provides for two beds at anyone time.

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJPD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJPD-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

As the contract was not executed until mid-August, there is currently no length of stay data. Respite length of stay is expected to be in the range of 5-10 days, with the potential capacity for extension in cases of extraordinary circumstances.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

There is, as of yet, no outcome data to report.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

There is, as of yet, no outcome data to report.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?
N/A

D. PROGRAM 9 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type						ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	10	10	5	5	0	0	10	0	40
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	10	5	5	0	0	10	0	40

PROGRAM 10

A. PROGRAM 10 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 10 Name: Juvenile Reporting Center

Operating Agency: Villa of Hope

Program Mailing Address: 3300 Dewey Avenue

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14616

Program Contact's Name: Lindsay Bushnell

Title: Program Supervisor

Phone: (585) 865-1550 Ext: 274

Email: lindsay.bushnell@villaofhope.org

B. PROGRAM 10 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All Monroe County Zipcodes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

The Juvenile Reporting Center (JRC) will address the unique needs of PINS/JD and RTA youth and families to prevent further involvement in the juvenile justice system and positively change the lives of the youth by providing a 5-day per week afterschool program that delivers a combination of academic, behavioral, social, recreational, vocational and community support. The center will serve youth referred due to behavior at school, home or in their neighborhoods that places them at high risk of juvenile justice involvement, detention and/or out of home placement. Youth will be exposed to a variety of educational and skill development curricula and groups, some of which are evidenced based, including healthy behaviors and choices and general life skills, and will be involved in healthy activities in the community. Prevention services are offered only for youth at risk of PINS, while all other service types (except AfterCare, which is not a component of the program) are offered for PINS and JDs, as well as RTA JDs and AOs. Any youth enrolled in the program will have access to the continuum of supports, curricula and services offered by JRC, regardless of service type, depending on their needs. The program case manager will also monitor school attendance for those still in school and will facilitate the family's connection with school staff to build capacity for support within the academic setting and ensure that the youth is enrolled in a program that best meets their needs. Vocational supports will be provided or accessed for those youth who are no longer in school. Transportation to and from the program is provided.

This program was previously funded through preventive dollars until 1/1/22 and then transitioned to STSJP.

Monroe County and JRC plan to spend a portion of the extra allocation to address the rising violence in our community. The intent is to enhance and expand JRC programming into the evening and/or weekends, with the specific goal of building and improving relationships between youth served by JRC and local law enforcement agencies, including the Rochester Police Department. Through a partnership between JRC, Monroe County, local law enforcement and RPD, we intend to engage youth at risk of, or involved with, juvenile justice in prosocial activities with police officers to make lasting positive connections with and provide informal mentorship opportunities. The plan is to provide 3-4 such community-based activities per month, potentially more often in the summer months. Funding will be used for additional JRC staff time to provide additional supervision and guidance from trusted adults during the activities, as well as transportation for youth, activity fees and/or venue rentals. Flex funds for equipment and clothing to ensure that youth have what they need to participate in the activities will also be provided, as well as food, snacks or beverages when appropriate to the activity. The hope is that the one time allocation will assist in development and implementation of this additional programming, while we seek additional monies through new partnerships and/or grants, to grow and sustain this program enhancement in the future.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 10 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose "None".

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

D. PROGRAM 10 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP	10	14	3	3			8	0	38	
STSJP-RTA	0	6			2	1	3	0	12	
Total	10	20	3	3	2	1	11	0	50	

PROGRAM 11

A. PROGRAM 11 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 11 Name: Cultural Humility, Racial Equity and Engagement Training

Operating Agency: Positive Steps NY

Program Mailing Address: 10 Cady Street

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester NY

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14608

Program Contact's Name: Sara Taylor

Title: President & CEO

Phone: (585) 654-9038

Ext:

Email: sarataylorr@aol.com

B. PROGRAM 11 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “Yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

Monroe County has chosen to use a portion of the additional STSJP allocation to provide professional development and training to staff of all STSJP programming and the Family Services Division of the Monroe County Department of Probation-Community Correction, as well as parents and caregivers of youth served by those programs. Selected trainings will focus on engagement, cultural humility and racial equity, and empowering parents. The intent of the trainings is to ensure shared values and competencies across programs with the goal of improving outcomes for youth and families receiving STSJP services.

Positive Steps NY will be providing a four-part training series for staff to ensure knowledge and skills around strength based communication, cultural humility and racial equity, conflict management and the social and emotional needs of communities of color. Each training in the series is 90 minutes in length and the series will be provided three times to ensure that every staff member can attend while coverage within each program is provided. The series includes the following sessions:

- 1) “Cultural Humility & Racial Equity from the Lens of a Parent or Caregiver” focuses on key concepts to improve engagement and service delivery for diverse populations, including principles of cultural humility, racial trauma, trauma informed practice, motivational interviewing and person-centered practices, within the context of cultural, ethnic and socio-economic factors.
- 2) “The Individual and Their Family in the Treatment of Addiction and Behavioral Health Conditions” builds on training #1 and applies those concepts to individuals in recovery and/or treatment and their families. The training examines how issues related to racism, oppression and other socio-economic influences impact treatment, engagement and outcomes for individuals and their families.
- 3) “Building Trusting Relationships through Strength Based Communication” will help providers understand the results of negative and disrespectful communication that can lead to distrust and broken client-provider relationships. Communication skills and strategies that highlight family strengths will be discussed, as well as offenses and system related issues that can compromise relationships.
- 4) “Managing Conflict” will engage participants in discussions moving from conflict resolution to conflict management. Various scenarios that impact households when a child is in crisis will be explored, as well as strategies for addressing negative communication, power struggles, focusing on “little wins,” and positive reinforcement at all levels.

Positive Steps will also provide a monthly training opportunity for parents of youth served by STSJP funded programs, entitled "My Voice, My Choice." This training focuses on empowering participants in all areas of their lives. With a specific focus on education, health and mental health advocacy, participants will learn key skills and competencies related to self-advocacy and effective communication. Through role play, real case reviews and interactive learning,

parents will leave empowered, knowledgeable, and ready to elevate their voice to be the lead decision maker in their child's care.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 11 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.
 None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?
 Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

D. PROGRAM 11 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PROGRAM 12

A. PROGRAM 12 CONTACT INFORMATION

Program 12 Name: Restorative Justice Training

Operating Agency: Partners in Restorative Initiatives

Program Mailing Address: 111 Hillside Avenue

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

ZIP Code: 14610

Program Contact's Name: Andrew Prinzing

Title: Co-Director

Phone: (585) 473-0970

Ext:

Email: office@pirirochester.org

B. PROGRAM 12 DESCRIPTION AND TARGET POPULATION

1. A Family Support Service program (FSS) may ONLY serve youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as PINS. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2021-2022. Yes No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2021-2022:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

*Note: If you indicated this program will operate as an FSS, it cannot provide STSJP-RTA services under this program.

3. Please list the ZIP codes this program will target:

All zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "Yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets each requirement of the legislation. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them. (Please refer to the STSJP Annual Plan Development Guide for additional guidance.)

Monroe County has chosen to use a portion of the additional STSJP allocation to provide professional development and training to staff of all STSJP programming and the Family Services Division of the Monroe County Department of Probation Community Correction. This training will focus on restorative justice as an alternative to traditional court processing and provide an overview of Community Conferencing. Community Conferencing is a specific restorative approach which brings together youth offenders, victims, family, and other supportive community members to discuss a harmful incident and ways of repairing the harm. Participants will gain a restorative framework for addressing conflict and harm, learn about trauma-based and shame-based behavior, and have an opportunity to experience a community conference. The full day training is delivered via

small group learning with no more than 15 participants per session. The plan is to provide 5 full-day sessions to ensure that every staff member can attend, while providing adequate program coverage. The intent of the training is to ensure shared values and competencies around restorative practices with the goal of improving outcomes for youth and families receiving STSJP services and preventing further penetration of those youth into the Youth Justice system.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? Yes No

C. PROGRAM 12 PERFORMANCE HISTORY (REFER TO YOUR MUNICIPALITY'S STSJP DATA FILES.)

1. What, if any, funding did this program receive in PY 2020-2021? If the program was approved in PY 2020-2021, but was not implemented or is anticipated to serve no youth, please choose None.

None (If none, skip to section D.) STSJP STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program serving more or less than its projected capacity on 9/30/2021? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average length of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2020 and 3/31/2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How does the average length of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that service type? For ATD/ATPDP and ATP service types, compare with the average length of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2020-2021 projected goals by 9/30/2021?

Yes (If Yes, skip to section D.) Partially No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2020-2021? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2021-2022?

D. PROGRAM 12 SERVICE PROJECTIONS FOR PY 2021-2022

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2021-2022. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

PART III – Goals for PY 2021-2022

Please set the municipality’s goals for its programs to achieve in PY 2021-2022. State-required outcomes have been included with space for you to identify what programs in **Part II-Program Details** will serve youth within each service domain. Goals are focused by service type and should reflect the percentage of youth expected to achieve the outcome described. **Note:** Outcomes are only recorded for youth once they have left the program; this may represent a subset of all the youth served during the period. Goals should reflect high, yet realistic, expectations for the programs.

PREVENTION
 (Programs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 N/A)

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
90	%	0	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
70	%	0	%	of youth will have no truanancies during service engagement
80	%	0	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
80	%	0	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
80	%	0	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection
70	%	0	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity
85	%	0	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	0	%	of youth will attend at least 90% of programming

If goal is set below 70% for any outcome please explain: Data from 2018-2019 (pre-pandemic) show that within Rochester City School District High Schools, approximately 49% of students demonstrate chronic absenteeism (defined as missing 10% of school or more). On any given day, almost 40% of RCSD high school students were absent. It is within this context that our service providers are operating. As such, targets for truancy are generally lower than other areas. Positive community activities continue to be a struggle during the pandemic as options are more limited. Thresholds for success are somewhat lower for that goal as well.

EARLY INTERVENTION
 (Programs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 N/A)

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
90	%	90	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will have no truanancies during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have their cases successfully adjusted/diverted during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection
70	%	70	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	90	%	of youth will attend at least 90% of programming

If goal is set below 70% for any outcome please explain: Data from 2018-2019 (pre-pandemic) show that within Rochester City School District High Schools, approximately 49% of students demonstrate chronic absenteeism (defined as missing 10% of school or more). On any given day, almost 40% of RCSD high school students were absent. It is within this context that our service providers are operating. As such, targets for truancy are generally lower than other areas. Positive community activities continue to be a struggle during the pandemic as options are more limited. Thresholds for success are somewhat lower for that goal as well.

ALTERNATIVE TO DETENTION / PRE-DISPOSITIONAL PLACEMENT
 (Programs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 N/A)

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
-------	--	-----------	--	----------

80	%	80	%	of youth will have no missed court appearances during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
95	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection
70	%	70	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	90	%	of youth will attend at least 90% of programming

If goal is set below 70% for any outcome please explain: Measures around community activities and positive adult connections are as low as or lower than other service types due to the inherent nature of an ATD program, which by design is expected to be short term. Service begins and ends upon order of the court, not necessarily when program goals have been achieved. Additionally, limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19 has limited opportunities for youth to be connected to programming.

ALTERNATIVE TO PLACEMENT

(Programs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 N/A)

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
85	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no violations of probation filed during service engagement
85	%	85	%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
90	%	90	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection
85	%	85	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	90	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

If goal is set below 70 percent for any outcome please explain:

REENTRY / AFTERCARE

(Programs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 N/A)

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%	0	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
85	%	0	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%	0	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
90	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
90	%	0	%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
90	%	0	%	of youth will have no returns to their previous placements during service engagement
80	%	0	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection
75	%	0	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity
85	%	0	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	0	%	of youth will attend at least 90% of programming

If goal is set below 70% for any outcome please explain:

PART IV – FUNDING							
A. ANTICIPATED PROGRAM EXPENSES AND FUNDING DISTRIBUTION							
Program Name and Service Types	STSJP						STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	Approved Rollover	PY21-22 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
1 ATD Team	\$391,244.18			\$631,039.00	\$239,794.82	\$391,244.18	\$145,000.00
Prevention							
Early Intervention							\$100,000.00
ATD/ATPDP	\$391,244.18			\$631,039.00	\$239,794.82	\$391,244.18	\$45,000.00
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect							
2 Juvenile Justice Clinical Support Team	\$80,000.00		\$26,672.24	\$172,052.00	\$65,379.76	\$106,672.24	
Prevention							
Early Intervention							
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect	\$80,000.00		\$26,672.24	\$172,052.00	\$65,379.76	\$106,672.24	
3 Coordinator of Cross System Partnerships	\$32,550.00		\$32,550.00	\$105,000.00	\$39,900.00	\$65,100.00	
Prevention							
Early Intervention							
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect	\$32,550.00		\$32,550.00	\$105,000.00	\$39,900.00	\$65,100.00	
4 Mediation	\$12,400.00		\$15,500.00	\$45,000.00	\$17,100.00	\$27,900.00	
Prevention	\$3,100.00		\$3,100.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$6,200.00	
Early Intervention	\$9,300.00		\$9,300.00	\$30,000.00	\$11,400.00	\$18,600.00	
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare			\$3,100.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,900.00	\$3,100.00	
Indirect							
5 FACT Family Support Program	\$449,252.72	\$190,937.22	\$147,190.84	\$1,269,969.00	\$482,588.22	\$787,380.78	
Prevention	\$366,997.78	\$137,142.22	\$95,400.00	\$967,000.00	\$367,460.00	\$599,540.00	
Early Intervention	\$71,860.00	\$39,300.00	\$48,385.84	\$257,332.00	\$97,786.16	\$159,545.84	
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							

Reentry/Aftercare	\$10,394.94	\$14,495.00	\$3,405.00	\$45,637.00	\$17,342.06	\$28,294.94	
Indirect							

Program Name and Service Types	STSJP						STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	Approved Rollover	PY21-22 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
6 PINS Probation Services	\$262,048.36		\$48,889.08	\$501,512.00	\$190,574.56	\$310,937.44	
Prevention							
Early Intervention	\$217,735.72		\$48,889.08	\$430,040.00	\$163,415.20	\$266,624.80	
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP	\$44,312.64			\$71,472.00	\$27,159.36	\$27,159.36	
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect							
7 Youth and Family Partnership	\$140,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$106,276.34	\$445,607.00	\$169,330.66	\$276,276.34	
Prevention	\$40,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$72,638.17	\$197,803.50	\$75,165.33	\$122,638.17	
Early Intervention	\$80,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$22,638.17	\$197,803.50	\$75,165.33	\$122,638.17	
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP	\$20,000.00		\$11,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$19,000.00	\$31,000.00	
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect							
8 System of Care Resource Team	\$100,000.00		\$30,434.98	\$210,379.00	\$79,944.02	\$130,434.98	
Prevention							
Early Intervention							
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect	\$100,000.00		\$30,434.98	\$210,379.00	\$79,944.02	\$130,434.98	
9 Respite		\$46,500.00		\$75,000.00	\$28,500.00	\$46,500.00	
Prevention		\$12,400.00		\$20,000.00	\$7,600.00	\$12,400.00	
Early Intervention		\$15,500.00		\$25,000.00	\$9,500.00	\$15,500.00	
ATD/ATPDP		\$12,400.00		\$20,000.00	\$7,600.00	\$12,400.00	
ATP		\$6,200.00		\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$6,200.00	
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect							
10 Juvenile Reporting Center	\$20,000.00	\$102,562.78	\$41,231.92	\$264,185.00	\$100,390.30	\$163,794.70	\$90,676.00
Prevention		\$32,372.04	\$9,388.06	\$67,355.00	\$25,594.90	\$41,760.10	
Early Intervention		\$27,200.00	\$18,308.00	\$73,400.00	\$27,892.00	\$45,508.00	\$40,676.00
ATD/ATPDP	\$20,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$3,747.28	\$56,044.00	\$21,296.72	\$34,747.28	\$25,000.00
ATP		\$31,990.74	\$9,788.58	\$67,386.00	\$25,606.68	\$41,779.32	\$25,000.00

Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect							

Program Name and Service Types	STSJP						STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	Approved Rollover	PY21-22 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
11 Cultural Humility, Racial Equity and Engagement Training			\$8,370.00	\$13,500.00	\$5,130.00	8370.00	
Prevention							
Early Intervention							
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect			\$8,370.00	\$13,500.00	\$5,130.00	\$8,370.00	
12 Restorative Justice Training			\$6,200.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	6200	
Prevention							\$0.00
Early Intervention							
ATD/ATPDP							
ATP							
Reentry/Aftercare							
Indirect			\$6,200.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$6,200.00	
► Sum of Program Totals:	\$1,487,495.26	\$370,000.00	\$463,315.40	\$3,743,243.00	\$1,422,432.34	\$2,320,810.66	\$235,676.00

B. STSJP REIMBURSEMENT SUMMARY	
STSJP Allocation Amount	\$463,317.00
Locally Approved Amount of PY 2021-2022 STSJP Allocation	\$463,315.40
Approved Detention Allocation Shifted	\$1,487,495.26
Approved Rollover Amount	\$370,000.00
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	\$2,320,810.66
C. STSJP-RTA REIMBURSEMENT SUMMARY	
STSJP-RTA Approved Plan Amount	\$235,676.00
Total Approved for State Reimbursement	\$235,676.00

PART V – PLAN APPROVAL

A. Municipality Level Approval – Chief Executive / Administrative Official

As STSJP Lead for Monroe County, I certify that the Chief Executive/Administrative Official, [Name and Title] Adam Bello, County Executive, has reviewed and approved the 2021-2022 STSJP Plan.		
User ID: 26c333	Print Name: Amy Natale-McConnell	Date: 2/8/2022
B. State Level Approval – OCFS Program Reviewer		
As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this STSJP plan for Monroe County for 2021-2022.		
User ID: JM9737	Print Name: Karen Sessions	Date: 2/24/2022